



INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

The Patent Office
Concept House
Cardiff Road
Newport
South Wales
NP10 8QQ

RECEIVED
JUL 19 2001
Technology Center 2100

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation and Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein together with the Statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a Patent (Form 7/77), which was subsequently filed.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.


In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

CERTIFIED COPY OF
PRIORITY DOCUMENT

Signed

Dated 11 December 2000



This Page Blank (uspto)

Request for a grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form you can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)



1. Your reference P008597GB

2. Patent application number
(The Patent Office will fill in this part)

0019978.6

14 AUG 2000

3. Full name, address and postcode of the
or of each applicant
(underline all surnames)

SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC.
901 SAN ANTONIO ROAD
PALO ALTO
CALIFORNIA 94303
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

3961703005

If the applicant is a corporate body, give
the country/state of its incorporation

STATE OF DELAWARE, USA

4. Title of the invention A COMPUTER SYSTEM

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

D YOUNG & CO

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom
to which all correspondence should be sent
(including the postcode)

21 NEW FETTER LANE
LONDON
EC4A 1DA

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

59006

6. If you are declaring priority from
one or more earlier patent
applications, give the country and
date of filing of the or each of these
earlier applications and (if you know
it) the or each application number

Country

Priority application
number
(if you know it)

Date of filing
(day/month/year)

1st

2nd

3rd

7. If this application is divided or otherwise
derived from an earlier UK application,
give the number and filing date of the
earlier application

Number of earlier
application

Date of filing
(day/month/year)

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:

a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or
b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
c) any named applicant is a corporate body.
See note (d))

YES

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form. Do not count copies of the same document

Continuation sheets of this form	NONE
Description	15
Claim(s)	4
Abstract	1
Drawing(s)	6 f 6

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item

Priority Documents	NONE
Translation of Priority Documents	NONE
Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77)	NONE
Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77)	NONE
Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77)	NONE
Any other documents (Please specify)	NONE

11.

I/We request the grant of a Patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

Date

Jonathan DeVile for
D Young & Co
D YOUNG & CO
Agents for the Applicants

14 Aug 2000

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

Dr Jonathan DeVile

023 80634816

Warning

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Notes

a) If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 01645 500505.


b) Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.

c) If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheets should be attached to this form.


d) If you answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.

e) Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.

f) For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.



**Statement of inventorship and
of right to grant of a patent**



1. Your reference	P008597GB					
2. Patent application number (if you know it)	0019978.6					
3. Full name of the or of each applicant	SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC					
4. Title of the invention	A COMPUTER SYSTEM					
5. State how the applicant(s) derived the right from the inventor(s) to be granted a patent	BY VIRTUE OF AN ASSIGNMENT DATED 11 AUGUST 2000					
6. How many, if any, additional Patents Forms 7/77 are attached to this form? (see note (c))	NONE					
7.	<p>I/We believe that the person(s) named over the page (and on any extra copies of this forms) is/are the inventor(s) of the invention which the above patent relates to.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Signature</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Date</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;"> D YOUNG & CO Agents for the Applicants </td> <td style="text-align: center;"> 2 Nov 2000 </td> </tr> </table>		Signature	Date	 D YOUNG & CO Agents for the Applicants	2 Nov 2000
Signature	Date					
 D YOUNG & CO Agents for the Applicants	2 Nov 2000					
8. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom	023 80634816	Ian Harris				

Notes

a) If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.

b) Write answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.

c) If there are more than three inventor, please write the names and addresses of the other inventors on the back of another Patents Form 7/77 and attach it to this form.

d) When an application does not declare any priority, or declares priority from an earlier UK application, you must provide enough copies of this form so that the Patent Office can send one to each inventor who is not an applicant.

e) Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.

Enter the full names, addresses and postcodes of the inventors in the boxes and underline the surnames

Surname	GARNETT
First Names	Paul J
Address	Little Orchard Knightsbridge Road Camberley Surrey GU15 3TS United Kingdom
Patents ADP number (if you know it): 08015A43001	

Surname	
First Names	
Address	
Patents ADP number (if you know it):	

Surname	
First Names	
Address	
Patents ADP number (if you know it):	

Reminder:
Have you signed the form?

DYC REF: P008597GB
SUN REF: P4676

Sun Proprietary/Confidential (draft)

APPLICATION PAPERS

OF

PAUL GARNETT

FOR

A COMPUTER SYSTEM

COMPUTER SYSTEM

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5 The invention relates to computer systems having first and second processing sets, each of which may comprise one or more processors, which communicate with an I/O device bus.

More particularly, the present invention relates to fault tolerant computer systems in which the first and the second processing sets are arranged to communicate
10 with the I/O device bus in a step locked manner; with provision for identifying lockstep errors in order to detect faulty operation of the computer system.

Generally it is desirable to provide fault tolerant computer systems with a facility for not only detecting faults, but also for automatically recovering from the detected faults. By detecting and recovering from the detect faults, the computer
15 system is provided with higher degree of system availability.

Automatic recovery from an error provides significant technical challenges. This is because a computer system has to be arranged to continue operating following fault detection to the effect of maintaining functional system performance of the system, whilst permitting diagnostic operations to be performed to locate and remedy
20 the fault.

The applicant has disclosed in a co-pending international patent application serial number US99/124321, corresponding to US patent application serial number 09/097,485, a fault tolerant computer system in which first and second processing sets are connected via an I/O device bus to a bridge. The bridge operates to monitor the
25 step locked operation of the processing sets, each processing set being arranged to operate in accordance with substantially identical software. The software includes for example an operating system of the computer system. If the bridge detects that one of the first or the second processing sets departs from the step locked operation with respect to the other processing set, then it is assumed that a fault or error condition has
30 occurred. Interrogation and analysis is then performed by the operating system following error reports from the bridge. The operating system determines which of

the first or the second processing sets is in error, or whether another device was in error, and takes corrective action. This fault detection and diagnosis is provided through the locked stepped operation of the processing sets as monitored by the bridge.

- 5 Although the step locked operation provides an effective system for detecting faults, because the two processing sets are effectively operating autonomously some conditions can occur in which the two processing sets do not operate in lock step even though an error has not occurred.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Particular and preferred aspects of the invention are set out in the accompanying independent and dependent claims. Combinations of features from the
5 dependent claims may be combined with features of the independent claims as appropriate and not merely as explicitly set out in the claims.

In accordance with one aspect of the invention, there is provided a computer system comprising a plurality of processing sets, each of the processing sets comprising at least one processor, and a bridge coupled to each of the processing sets
10 and operable to monitor a step locked operation of the processing sets, wherein each of the processors has a processor identification register which is read/writeable and is operable to store in the register data representative of a processor identification, the processors being arranged, consequent upon a predetermined condition, to load a common predefined data value into the processor identification register.

Processors are typically provided with a processor identification which is for
15 example a binary number loaded into a register, which may include manufacturer specific information, and/or other information relating to the status or configuration of the processor. The processor identification is provided to identify not only the processor, but also the version of the processor. The version number is provided
20 because there may be no logical change in the structure and operation of the processor, however an update of the processor may occur to improve the manufacturing yield of the processor, or to increase the maximum speed of operation of the processor, although otherwise without a functional or logical change. The processor identification may therefore include, for example, data fields comprising the
25 manufacturer's identification, an implementation identification, and a mask set version.

If the version number has changed between two processors which form part of different processing sets of a fault tolerant computer system the difference in the version numbers of the processors can cause errors to be wrongly detected as a result
30 of the difference in processor numbers. For example, some processors include the processor identification as a field or fields of a word held in a register, which also

includes other configuration information, which is required by the operating system being executed by the processor. This configuration information may be, for example, the number of registers or the maximum number of supported trap levels beyond level 0 supported by the processor. As such, during execution, the information held in the version identification register will be read by the operating system, and stored in memory. If this data is then read out of memory, sometime later, as a memory exchange as part of a virtual memory swap, then the version identification may be detected on the data bus by the bridge, and, therefore falsely diagnosed as a processing set error, because the version numbers of the processors in the processing sets differ.

10 Embodiments of the present invention address this technical problem by providing the processor with a processor identification register which is read/writeable, and arranging, consequent upon a masking condition, for a predefined common data value, which is common to all processing sets, to be loaded into the version register.

15 The predetermined condition may be, for example, a boot or re-boot of a processing set, or a forced re-configuration or initialization of the processing set instigated by the operating system.

In a particular embodiment of the invention, each of the processing sets may include a boot memory unit storing data which is representative of initialization code, which when read by the processor serves to initialize the processing set to operate within the computer system, the boot memory unit including the common predefined data value which is loaded by the processor into the processor identification register. In this embodiment, the boot memory may be a Boot PROM, or the like. The predefined value is loaded into the version register as part of instructions received from a Boot PROM, which are read and executed during a boot or re-boot. The boot or re-boot may be initiated following a "hot insertion" of a processing set, or following a forced re-configuration or initialization of the processing system by, for example the operating system.

30 According to an aspect of the invention there is provided a processor for use in a processing set forming part of a fault tolerant computer system, the processor comprising an interface for communication with an I/O bus, and a process

identification register which is read/writeable, and has stored in the register data representative of a processor identification, wherein the processor is arranged, to write a common predefined data value received via the I/O bus into the processor identification register.

- 5 An aspect of the invention also provides a method of operating a fault tolerant computer system comprising a plurality of processing sets, each of which processing sets is connected to a bridge, each of the processing sets having at least one processor, the method comprising the steps of;
- 10 a) detecting a predetermined condition representative of a state in which the processor identification is present in a process identification register of the processor;
- and
- b) loading a common predefined data value into the processor identification register of each of the processors which predefined data value has an effect of masking the processor identification.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Exemplary embodiments of the present invention will be described hereinafter, by way of example only, with reference to the accompanying drawings in which like
5 reference signs relate to like elements and in which:

Figure 1 is a schematic overview of a fault tolerant computer system;

Figure 2 is a schematic overview of a specific implementation of a system based on that of Figure 1;

Figures 3 and 4 are schematic diagrams of examples of processing sets;

10 Figure 5 is table providing an example illustration of data fields which may form part of a processor identification register;

Figure 6 is an example block diagram of parts of a processor in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

Figure 7 is an example block diagram of parts of a processor in accordance
15 with a further embodiment of the present invention; and

Figure 8 is a flow diagram illustrating a process for writing a common predefined value into the processor identification register of the processor shown in Figure 6.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

To facilitate understanding of the advantages provided by embodiments of the present invention, an example of a fault tolerant computer system will be presented in the following paragraphs with reference to Figures 1 and 2. It will be appreciated
5 however that the present invention finds application with any computer system which is arranged to operate with some duplication of processors.

Figure 1 is a schematic overview of a fault tolerant computing system 10 comprising a plurality of CPU sets (processing sets) 14, 16 and a bridge 12. As shown in Figure 1, there are two processing sets 14, 16, although in other
10 embodiments there may be three or more processing sets. The bridge 12 forms an interface between the processing sets and I/O devices such as devices 28, 29, 30, 31, 32. In this document, the term "processing set" is used to denote a group of one or more processors, possibly including memory, which output and receive common outputs and inputs. It should be noted that the alternative term mentioned above,
15 "CPU set", could be used instead, and that these terms could be used interchangeably throughout this document. Also, it should be noted that the term "bridge" is used to denote any device, apparatus or arrangement suitable for interconnecting two or more buses of the same or different types.

The first processing set 14 is connected to the bridge 12 via a first processing
20 set I/O bus (PA bus) 24, in the present instance a Peripheral Component Interconnect (PCI) bus. The second processing set 16 is connected to the bridge 12 via a second processing set I/O bus (PB bus) 26 of the same type as the PA bus 24 (i.e. here a PCI bus). The I/O devices are connected to the bridge 12 via a device I/O bus (D bus) 22, in the present instance also a PCI bus.

25 The processing sets 14, 16 and the bridge 12 are operable in synchronism under the control of a common clock 20, which is connected thereto by clock signal lines 21.

Some of the devices including an Ethernet (E-NET) interface 28 and a Small Computer System Interface (SCSI) interface 29 are permanently connected to the
30 device bus 22, but other I/O devices such as I/O devices 30, 31, 32 can be hot insertable into individual switched slots 33, 34 and 35. Dynamic field effect transistor

(FET) switching can be provided for the slots 33, 34 and 35 to enable hot insertability of the devices such as devices 30, 31, 32. The provision of the FETs enables an increase in the length of the D bus 22 as only those devices which are active are switched on, reducing the effective total bus length. It will be appreciated that the number of I/O devices which may be connected to the D bus 22, and the number of slots provided for them, can be adjusted according to a particular implementation in accordance with specific design requirements.

Figure 2 is a schematic overview of a particular implementation of a fault tolerant computer employing a bridge structure of the type illustrated in Figure 1. In Figure 2, the fault tolerant computer system includes a plurality (here four) of bridges 12 on first and second I/O motherboards (MB 40 and MB 42) in order to increase the number of I/O devices which may be connected and also to improve reliability and redundancy. Thus, in the embodiment shown in Figure 2, the two processing sets 14, 16 are each provided on a respective processing set board 44, 46, with the processing set boards 44, 46 'bridging' the I/O motherboards MB 40 and MB 42. A first, master clock source 20A is mounted on the first motherboard 40, a second, slave clock source 20B is mounted on the second motherboard 42. Clock signals are supplied to the processing set boards 44, 46 via respective connections (not shown in Figure 2).

First and second bridges 12.1, 12.2 are mounted on the first I/O motherboard 40. The first bridge 12.1 is connected to the processing sets 14, 16 by P buses 24.1, 26.1, respectively. Similarly, the second bridge 12.2 is connected to the processing sets 14, 16 by P buses 24.2, 26.2, respectively. The bridge 12.1 is connected to an I/O databus (D bus) 22.1 and the bridge 12.2 is connected to an I/O databus (D bus) 22.2.

Third and fourth bridges 12.3, 12.4 are mounted on the second I/O motherboard 42. The bridge 12.3 is connected to the processing sets 14, 16 by P buses 24.3, 26.3, respectively. Similarly, the bridge 12.4 is connected to the processing sets 14, 16 by P buses 24.4, 26.4, respectively. The bridge 12.3 is connected to an I/O databus (D bus) 22.3 and the bridge 12.4 is connected to an I/O databus (D bus) 22.4.

It can be seen that the arrangement shown in Figure 2 can enable a large number of I/O devices to be connected to the two processing sets 14 and 16 via the D

buses 22.1, 22.2, 22.3 and 22.4 for either increasing the range of I/O devices available, or providing a higher degree of redundancy, or both.

Figure 3 is a schematic overview of one possible configuration of a processing set, such as the processing set 14 of Figure 1. The processing set 16 could have the same configuration. In Figure 3, a plurality of processors (here four) 52 are connected by one or more buses 54 to a processing set bus controller 50. Also connected to the buses 54 is a boot programmable read only memory (Boot PROM) 55. The purpose and function of the Boot PROM 55 will be described shortly.

As shown in Figure 3, one or more processing set output buses 24 are connected to the processing set bus controller 50, each processing set output bus 24 being connected to a respective bridge 12. For example, in the arrangement of Figure 1, only one processing set I/O bus (P bus) 24 would be provided, whereas in the arrangement of Figure 2, four such processing set I/O buses (P buses) 24 would be provided. In the processing set 14 shown in Figure 3, individual processors operate using the common memory 56, and receive inputs and provide outputs on the common P bus(es) 24.

Figure 4 illustrates an alternative configuration of a processing set, such as the processing set 14 of Figure 1. Here a simple processing set includes a single processor 72 and associated memory 76 connected via a common bus 74 to a processing set bus controller 70. The processing set shown in Figure 4 also includes a Boot PROM 73. The processing set bus controller 70 provides an interface between the internal bus 74 and the processing set I/O bus(es) (P bus(es)) 24 for connection to the bridge(s) 12.

Accordingly, it will be appreciated from Figures 3 and 4 that the processing set may have many different forms and that the particular choice of a particular processing set structure can be made on the basis of the processing requirement of a particular application and the degree of redundancy required. In the following description, it is assumed that the processing sets 14, 16 referred to have a structure as shown in Figure 3, although it will be appreciated that another form of processing set could be provided.

The bridge(s) 12 are operable in a number of operating modes. These are described in detail in co-pending international patent application, serial number US99/124321. However to facilitate understanding and to illustrate the advantages of embodiments of the present invention a brief explanation of the operation of the
5 bridge 12 is provided in the following paragraphs.

The bridge can be described as operating generally in one of two modes. In a first, combined mode, a bridge 12 is operable to route addresses and data between the processing sets 14 and 16 (via the PA and PB buses 24 and 26, respectively) and the devices (via the D bus 22). In this combined mode, I/O cycles generated by the
10 processing sets 14, 16 are compared to ensure that both processing sets are operating correctly. Comparison failures force the bridge 12 into an error limiting mode (EState) in which device I/O is prevented and diagnostic information is collected. In a second, split mode, the bridge 12 routes and arbitrates addresses and data from one of the processing sets 14, 16 onto the D bus 22 and/or onto the other one of the
15 processing sets 14, 16 respectively. In this mode of operation, the processing sets 14, 16 are not synchronized and no I/O comparisons are made. DMA operations are also permitted in both modes. Therefore, once an error condition has been detected by bridge 12 following a comparison failure, the bridge operates in the split mode to diagnose the error and to recover automatically from the error, by for example re-
20 setting the faulty processing set.

As already explained above, processors often contain a register in which version identification data of the processor is held. The version identification data may include one or more data fields, which contain information *inter alia*, for identifying the processor revision. In the following description this will be referred to
25 as the version number, although as will be appreciated the version identification could be by any alpha-numeric characters providing an identification of the processor version.

The presence of a different version identification number in a processor which forms part of a fault tolerant computing system described above provides a technical problem because processors within the fault tolerant computer system may have
30 different version numbers although functionally and logically may operate substantially identically. An example of such a processor is the Sun Microelectronics

Ultra Sparc (Trademark) 1 and 2. As explained on page 241 of the Sun Ultra Sparc 1 and 2 Users Manual January 1997, the version register of the Ultra Sparc Processor includes two fields which identify the processor and identify the implementation of the processor as well as a mask set version. The fields of the version register are reproduced as an example of version identification data in the table shown in Figure 5.

These fields will vary in accordance with a particular implementation of the processor. These fields form part of a 64 bit data word which include a field indicative of the maximum trap level supported (MAXTL) and a field indicative of the maximum number of windows of an integer register file (MAXWIN). As such, the version register will be interrogated by the operating system, and the contents may be stored in the memory units 56, 76 of the processing sets 14, 16. This is because the MAXTL and MAXWIN fields are required by the operating system in order to execute correctly. As a result there can exist in the memory units 56, 76 data values which will differ between the processing sets. As a result when the version identification data is loaded onto the I/O bus by the operating system, such as for example during a memory swap as part of a virtual memory access, a divergence will be caused between the data values which are put on to the PA-bus 24 and PB-bus 26. This may be detected by the bridge 12 as an error even though both processing sets 14, 16 of the computer system are operating correctly.

Embodiments of the present invention provide an arrangement in which the version register or at least the part of the version register which contains the processor identification is made to be read/writeable, and to write into the version register a predefined value which is common to all processors within the computer system. As a result the false detection of an error in the system is prevented or at least the likelihood of a false detection of an error reduced. An example of such a processor is shown in Figure 6.

In Figure 6 an example processor 52 is provided with an I/O port or ports 60 for connection to the bus(es) 54 of the processing sets shown in Figure 3. The bus I/O port 60 provides a means for communicating instructions, data and addresses to and from the processor 52. The bus(es) 54 therefore provide both data and address buses for the processor 52.

The processor 52 contains a read only register 62 and a read/writeable register 64. The read/writeable register 64 forms the version identification register, and is arranged to receive the contents of the read only register 62 under control of a control unit 66 via the internal bus 71. The control unit 66 is coupled to the read only register 5 62 and the version identification register 64 via control lines 68. In operation on power-up, the contents of the read only register 62 are written under control of the control unit 66 into a writeable part 94 of the version identification register 64. In this mode therefore the manufacturer's version identification data identifying the processor, the implementation identification and the mask set version, for example, 10 are loaded into the corresponding field or fields of the version identification register 64. According to the example present in the table shown in Figure 5, the version identification register 64 may contain other configuration information such as MAXTL and MAXWIN, which may be loaded permanently in a read only section 92 of the register 64, as will be explained shortly.

15 On receipt of a command from the bus 54 as communicated to the control unit 66 via the I/O port 60, a common predefined value received with the command is arranged to be latched into a writeable part 94 of the read/writeable version register 64 via the internal bus 71. Alternatively, the common predefined value could not be communicated with the command but arranged to be an implicit value such as 0.

20 In this embodiment, the read/writeable version register 64 is divided into two parts, with the first part 92 containing information appertaining to the configuration of the processor 52. For example, as shown in the table of Figure 5, this information might include the maximum trap level supported and the maximum number of windows of integer register file (MAXTL and MAXWIN). As such these processor 25 specific parameters may be held in a first part 92 of the version register 64. The first part 92 of the version register 64 is made to be a read only part and so is not overwritten by either the information from the read only register 62 or the common predefined value written into the second part 94 of version register 64 which is loaded from the bus 54 via the internal bus 71. In this way only the processor identification 30 information is overwritten by the common predefined value or the true processor

identification value from the read only register 62. In Figure 6, which is represented schematically by an arrow 73.

Returning to Figure 3, the operation performed by the processor 52 to the effect of masking the processor identification number will now be explained in the context of the fault tolerant computer system.

Following system boot up or re-boot, the contents of the Boot PROM 55, which contains configuration and initialisation code are accessed by the processors 52 via the bus 54. The configuration and initialisation code contained in the Boot PROM 55 includes instructions to load a common predefined value which is received by the processor 52 via the bus 54. The instructions instructs the processor to load the common predefined value into the version register 64. In preferred embodiments, the common predefined value is supplied with the instructions from the Boot PROM 55. In this way the true processor identification which is initially loaded into the version register 64 from the read only register 62 is masked with the common predefined value. The common predefined value may be an all zeros value which can be easily identified and recognised as being a default processor identification and as such is recognised as not reflecting the true processor identification.

The steps and operation of the process through which the version identification number is replaced by the common predefined value is illustrated by the flow diagram shown in Figure 8. In Figure 8 following a boot/re-boot at step 80 the processor at step 82 operates to load the version register 64 with the processor identification held in the read only memory 62. At this point in the process the manufacturer's true processor identification data is present in the read/writeable version register 64 and so may be interrogated by a diagnostics system to determine the true version number of the processor. However at step 84, the processor is initialised with the configuration and initialisation code held in the Boot PROM 55.

The re-boot step 84 may be initiated for a number of reasons, referred to generally as a masking condition. It will be appreciated however that the following are just examples and other situations may occur in which the masking operation is effected. The term "masking condition" should therefore be interpreted as including any event or state for which the processor identification in the processor identification

register should be masked. For example if the fault tolerant computer system detects that a processing set is in error, the operating system running on the processing set which is not in error may initiate a re-initialisation and re-boot the processing set at which point the code within the Boot PROM 53 is read by the processors.

- 5 Alternatively, if it has been ascertained that a processing set is in error and is replaced, then after a "hot insertion" of a new processing set within a motherboard of the computer system, the new processing set is re-booted at which point again the code within the Boot PROM 53 is read by the processors 52 in the processing set. A third alternative might be for example if the entire computer system is powered down at
10 which point a boot-up follows and again the code within the Boot PROM 53 is read by the processors within each of the processing sets.

At processing step 86, the code within the Boot PROM is read by each of the processors within the processing set and an instruction to load the common predefined value received from the data bus 54 is executed by the processors 52 and the common
15 predefined value is loaded into the version register 64. This is effected at processing step 88. At processing step 90 the process of masking the processor identification is completed.

As an alternative embodiment, the read/writeable version register 64 may not be divided into two parts, but the entire word length of the register may be
20 read/writeable. For this embodiment the read only register 62 will contain the information appertaining to the configuration of the processor 52, as well as the manufacturer's processor identification. The same configuration information is therefore provided with the common predefined value and loaded from the buses 54.

As will be appreciated the example processing set shown in Figure 4 could
25 also be implemented with the processor shown in Figure 6.

A further example of an implementation of a processor according to an alternative embodiment of the present invention is shown in Figure 7. The processor 52' shown in Figure 7 corresponds substantially to the processor shown in Figure 6 except that the processor in Figure 7 does not include a read only register 62. In this
30 case, the version register 64 is again read/writeable however this register is loaded by the manufacturer with the manufacturer's true processor identification fields.

Therefore, these processor identification fields remain with the other configuration fields within the version register 64 until and unless they are overwritten with the common predefined identification as described for the processor shown in Figure 6.

Therefore for this embodiment of the invention the flow diagram describing the operation of the computer system which appears in Figure 7 is correspondingly executed with the exception that step 82 of the process is omitted. For this embodiment, the read/writeable version register 64 and the read only memory 62 are non-volatile semi-conductor memories such as flash or EEPROM allowing the version registers to be permanently programmable.

According to a further embodiment of the invention, the common predefined value may be the processor identification of one of the processors of one of the processing sets in the computer system. In this way, all of the processors of the system may be arranged to be matched to the same processor identification. To this end, the operating system may interrogate the processor identification register of one of the processors to identify the processor identification. This processor identification is then used as the common predefined value, which is provided to the newly introduced processing set on re-boot. Alternatively, the operating system may identify the processor identification of all of the processors and select one of these processor identifications as the common predefined value. The common predefined value may be redefined if the processor corresponding to the processor identification is contained in the processor set which has been replaced by a new processing set. In this case, the processor identification of one of the other processors is selected as the processing common predefined value.

As will be appreciated the embodiments of the invention herein before described are provided as illustrative examples and various modifications may be made without departing from the scope of the present invention. In particular, the processors embodying the present invention may be used in any computer system in which more than one of the processors are arranged to execute substantially identical software which is monitored by a bridge or the like.

CLAIMS

1. A computer system comprising
 - a plurality of processing sets, each having at least one processor, and
 - 5 - a bridge coupled to each of said processing sets and operable to monitor a step locked operation of said processing sets, wherein
 - each of said processors has a processor identification register which is read/writeable and is operable to store in said register data representative of a processor identification, said processors being arranged, consequent upon a
 - 10 predetermined condition, to load a common predefined data value into said processor identification register.
2. A computer system as claimed in Claim 1, wherein said predetermined condition is a reset state of at least one of said plurality of processors.
- 15 3. A computer system as claimed in Claim 2, wherein said reset state is a state asserted in said fault tolerant computer system following boot or re-boot.
4. A computer system as claimed in any preceding Claim, wherein each of said
- 20 processing sets includes a boot memory unit storing data which is representative of initialisation code arranged to initialise said processor to operate within said computer system, said boot memory unit including said common predefined data value which is loaded by said processor into said processor identification register.
- 25 5. A computer system as claimed in Claim 4, wherein said boot memory unit is a programmable read-only memory.
6. A computer system as claimed in any preceding Claim, wherein said common predefined value is an all zeros value.

7. A computer system as claimed in any preceding Claim, wherein each of said processors further includes
- a read only register having stored therein said process identification data.
- 5 8. A computer system as claimed in Claim 7, wherein said process identification data stored in said read only register is loaded, upon initialisation into said processor identification register.
9. A computer system as claimed in any preceding Claim, wherein said common
- 10 predefined value is a processor identification of one of the processors of said fault tolerant computer system, said processor identification of each of said processors being matched.
10. A processor for use in a processing set forming part of a fault tolerant
- 15 computer system, said processor comprising
- an interface for communication with an I/O bus, and
 - a process identification register which is read/writeable, and has stored in said register data representative of a processor identification, wherein said processor is operable, to write a common predefined data value received via said I/O bus into said
- 20 processor identification register.
11. A processor as claimed in Claim 10, comprising
- a read only register having stored therein said process identification data, wherein said process identification data stored in said read only register is loadable,
- 25 upon initialisation into said processor identification register.
12. A method of operating a fault tolerant computer system comprising a plurality of processing sets, each of which processing sets is connected to a bridge, each of said processing sets having at least one processor, said method comprising the steps of;

a) detecting a predetermined condition representative of a state in which said processor identification is present in a process identification register of said processor; and

b) loading a common predefined data value into said processor
5 identification register of each of said processors which predefined data value has an effect of masking said processor identification.

13. A method of operating a fault tolerant computer system as claimed in Claim 12, wherein said predetermined condition is a reset state of at least one of said
10 plurality of processing sets.

14. A method of operating a fault tolerant computer system as claimed in Claim 13, wherein said reset state is a state asserted in said fault tolerant computer system following boot or re-boot.

15

15. A method of operating a fault tolerant computer system as claimed in Claim 12, comprising

detecting an error condition of at least one of said plurality of processing sets,
and

20 if said error condition is detected performing the step of loading said common predefined value in said processor identification register of said at least one processor of the processing set which has said detected error.

16. A method of operating a fault tolerant computer system comprising a plurality
25 of processing sets, each having at least one processor, and a bridge coupled to each of said processing sets and operable to monitor a step locked operation of said processing sets, said method comprising the step of

- replacing a processor of one of said processing sets with a replacement processor according to Claim 10.

30

17. A method of operating a fault tolerant computer system as claimed in Claim 16, wherein said replacement processor is replaced by

- replacing one of said processing sets with a processing set having the replacement processor according to Claim 10.

5

18. A computer system as herein before described with reference to the accompanying drawings.

10 19. A processor as herein before described with reference to the accompanying drawings.


20. A method of operating a fault tolerant computer system as herein before with reference to the accompanying drawings.

ABSTRACTCOMPUTER SYSTEM

5 A computer system is arranged to provide protection against faults. The computer system comprises a plurality of processing sets (14, 16), each having at least one processor (52) and a bridge (12) coupled to each of the processing sets (14, 16) and operable to monitor a step locked operation of said processing sets (14, 16). Each of the processors (52) has a processor identification register (64) which is
10 read/writeable and is operable to store in the register data representative of a processor identification. The processors (14, 16) are arranged, consequent upon a masking condition, to load a common predefined data value into the processor identification register, which predefined common data value has an effect of masking the processor identification. The erroneous detection by the bridge (12) of a fault condition
15 resulting from functionally the same processors having a different processor identification is thereby avoided, or at least the likelihood of a false detection reduced. The masking condition may be, for example, a boot or re-boot of a processing set, or a forced re-configuration or initialization of the processing system instigated by an operating system.

20

Figure 6 for Abstract



This Page Blank (uspto)




FIG. 1

This Page Blank (uspto)






FIG. 2

This Page Blank (uspto)



This Page Blank (uspto)

Bits	Field	Use	RW
<63:48>	<i>manuf</i>	Manufacturer identification	R
<47:32>	<i>impl</i>	Implementation identification	R
<31:24>	<i>mask</i>	Mask set version	R
<23:16>	<i>Reserved</i>	—	R
<15:8>	<i>maxtl</i>	Maximum trap level supported	R
<7:5>	<i>Reserved</i>	—	R
<4:0>	<i>maxwin</i>	Maximum number of windows of integer register file.	R

Fig 5

This Page Blank (uspto)




Fig 6




Fig 7

This Page Blank (uspto)




Fig 8

This Page Blank (uspto)